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#### Abstract

$\mathrm{Ca}_{3} B_{M n O}^{6}(B=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn})$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ were synthesized and studied by X-ray powder diffraction, neutron powder diffraction, and SQUID magnetometry. All these compounds were found to crystallize in the $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{CdCl}_{6}$ structure containing alternate chains made of face-sharing $B($ II $) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ trigonal prisms and $B^{\prime}(I V) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra $\left(B^{\prime}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}\right) . \mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}(\mathrm{CNMO})$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ (CZMO) were found to be antiferromagnets with a $T_{\mathrm{N}}$ of 19 and 25.5 K , respectively. CNMO showed a pronounced one-dimensional antiferromagnetic character like a broad susceptibility maximum at 100 K due to short range ordering, while CZMO behaved as a three-dimensional system since the presence of nonmagnetic $\mathbf{Z n}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ ions suppressed the intrachain exchange interaction. A simple collinear antiferromagnetic structure with an ordered moment of 2.43 (15) $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathbf{M n}$ was found in CZMO, while CNMO showed a spiral structure with ordered moments of $2.2(2) \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Mn}$ and $1.4(2) \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Ni}$. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$, on the other hand, showed a ferromagnetic, nonlinear field dependence of magnetization below 90 K , with a hysteresis appearing just below 25 K , and a spontaneous moment measured at 5 K was $0.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Co}$, suggesting the $\mathrm{Co}^{4+}$ ions being in low spin state. © 1999 Academic Press
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## INTRODUCTION

Recently $A_{3} B B^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{6}(A=\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}, \ldots, B=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \ldots$, and $B^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Pt}, \ldots$ ) type oxides of the $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{CdCl}_{6}$ structure (1) have been discussed in many reports from a viewpoint of one-dimensional (1D) magnetism. To our knowledge,

[^0]the first intensive work was by Nguyen's group (2-4). According to them, $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{CuPtO}_{6}$ (2), $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{CuIrO}_{6}$ (3), and $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{CuPt}_{0.5} \mathrm{Ir}_{0.5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(4,5)$ are a 1D Heisenberg antiferromagnet, a 1D Heisenberg ferromagnet, and a random quantum spin chain paramagnet, respectively.

These oxides contain alternating chains made of trigonal prisms $\left(B \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ and octahedra $\left(B^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ which share their triangular faces with each other. A slip of the typical infinite chain structure taken from $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{NiIrO}_{6}$ (6) is shown in Fig. 1, where the $\mathrm{Ir}^{4+}$ ions with a smaller radius occupy the octahedral site and the $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions, which are larger, occupy the prismatic site. The 1D character of the $\mathrm{BO}_{6}-B^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ chains is enhanced by the presence of the counter cations, $A$, which widely separate chains from each other. However, such 1D character is lost and 3D character appears instead when the $B$ cations are nonmagnetic as in $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} B \mathrm{IrO}_{6}(B=\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Zn}$, $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na})(7)$ and $A_{3} B \mathrm{RuO}_{6}(A=\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}, B=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na})(8)$, because the intrachain $B^{\prime}-B^{\prime}$ interaction is of a comparable magnitude with the interchain $B^{\prime}-B^{\prime}$ interactions.
In this paper we report on the synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ (CNMO), $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}(\mathrm{CZMO})$, and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}(\mathrm{CZCO})$ which contain $3 d$ transition metals at both the $B$ and the $B^{\prime}$ sites. The magnetic properties of the two Mn-containing oxides will be compared in terms of the magnetic dimensionality. The successful synthesis of CZCO is the first exemplification showing the usefulness of a high pressure technique to search for new oxides of this type. To our knowledge it is only $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(9)$ that contains $3 d$ transition metals at both $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ sites. According to the latest works (10-12), the $B^{\prime}$ site Co ions are nonmagnetic, while the $B$ site Co ions carry a localized moment with a strong anisotropy. The intra- and interchain interactions are ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic, respectively, and the total magnetism has been characterized in terms of ferromagnetic Ising spin


FIG. 1. Alternating chain structure for $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{NiIrO}_{6}$ (Ref. (6)) illustrated in two ways. The octahedral coordination of Ir is shown explicitly in the second illustration.
chains and their ferrimagnetic ordering. The present oxides CNMO and CZMO will provide us with Heisenberg spin systems because the single-ion anisotropy is known to be small for both $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Polycrystalline samples were prepared as follows using a citrate sol-gel precursor technique to improve reactivity and chemical homogeneity. First, $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$, metallized Mn (or Co ), and Ni (or ZnO ), each with a purity of $99.9 \%$, were dissolved in a concentrated nitric acid, to which citric acid and ethyl ether were subsequently added for sol formation. The solution was gradually heated at $100 \sim 350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for evaporation and metamorphosis into the gel form. The gel was then decomposed into oxide powder by heating it at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h in an oxygen stream of atmospheric pressure.

CNMO and CZMO were obtained from the decomposed gels by adding a process of grinding, pelletization, and heating in the air at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h in total. On the other hand, a high pressure process was applied to the preparation of CZCO: The decomposed gel was heated at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the air, and the product was then ground, sealed in a gold capsule with an oxidizer, $\mathrm{KClO}_{4}$, and heated at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min under 6 GPa by using a cubic anvil-type high pressure apparatus. The oxidizing atmosphere generated by $\mathrm{KClO}_{4}$ was necessary for the oxidation of Co .

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data on powdered samples were collected by using $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation (Rigaku, RINT 2500) at room temperature. An angular range from 3 to $70^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$ was scanned in steps of $0.02^{\circ}$. Magnetization $(M)$ was measured using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS XL ) at temperatures of $5 \leq T \leq 300 \mathrm{~K}$ in steps of 5 K in an external field of $H=10 \mathrm{kOe}$. Isothermal field dependence at 5 K was measured using another type of SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, PPMS) for $-90 \leq H \leq$ 90 kOe in steps of 2 kOe .

Neutron diffraction (ND) patterns were collected for CZMO and CNMO at 300 and 10 K from 5 to $165^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$ with a step interval of $0.05^{\circ}$ on the high resolution powder diffractometer installed at the research reactor JRR3M, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (Tokai). The wavelength used was $2.3005 \AA$. The samples contained in vanadium cans, 10 mm in diameter and He -filled, were cooled by a conventional ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ closed cycle refrigerator. All the patterns were analyzed using the Rietveld refinement program RIETAN $(13,14)$, except the magnetic patterns of CNMO measured at 10 K which was analyzed using Ful1Prof (15). In these refinements, the background was fitted with a 6-term polynomial for CNMO and a 9-term polynomial for CZMO, and a pseudo-Voigt peak shape function was employed. The magnetic form factors of $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ were used to calculate the magnetic scattering amplitude. The temperature dependence of magnetic Bragg reflections was also measured on the triple axis spectrometer TAS-1 installed at JRR3M. A pyrolytic graphite filter was used in order to reduce higher-order contaminations. The samples were loaded on a cryostat of the same type as used in the HRPD experiment.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The XRD measurement on CNMO, CZMO, and CZCO and also the ND measurement on CNMO and CZMO indicated that all these new oxides crystallize in the $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{NiIrO}_{6}$ structure of space group $R \overline{3} c$ (6), though we note here that very small amounts of impurities including NiO and unidentified phases were present depending upon the sample. The Rietveld refinements of the ND data at 300 K , including 52 independent peaks for CNMO and 53 independent peaks for CZMO from which the impurities were excluded, converged well. The isotropic thermal parameters and the occupation factors were refined under a constraint that both 6 a and 6 b sites were fully occupied.

The observed, calculated, and difference ND patterns are shown in Fig. 2 for CNMO and in Fig. 3 for CZMO. The crystallographic data, selected interatomic distances and bond angles, and other relevant parameters are summarized in Table 1. The lattice constants are considerably smaller than those for the phases containing $4 d$ or $5 d$ metals (2-8) as can be seen in the table. There was no sign of the transition metals being disordered over the two different sites within experimental error (Table 1 b ): The Ni and Zn ions occupy the prismatic site and the Mn ions occupy the octahedral site. The sharp magnetic transitions found in these oxides by ND (to be shown in Fig. 6) also support the good microscopic homogeneity in these oxides. Concerning CZCO, we have no analytical data but the similarity in ionic radius between $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(0.69 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(0.74 \AA)$ and between $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}(0.53 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{4+}(0.53 \AA)$ suggests that the ordering in this oxide is as good as in CNMO and CZMO.


FIG. 2. Observed (dots), calculated (full line), and difference neutron diffraction profiles for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ at 300 K (a) and 10 K (b and c). Profile (c) is an enlargement of a certain low scattering-angle part of profile (b). Magnetic reflections are indicated by $M$, nuclear reflections by $N$.

The normal and reciprocal plots of the $M-T$ curves taken at $H=10 \mathrm{kOe}$ and the field dependence of magnetization are shown in Fig. 4. The high-temperature $M-T$ data for all these oxides could be fitted to the Curie-Weiss law, and the best fit parameters are listed in Table 2a. These results can be summarized as follows. First, the Weiss temperature, $\Theta$, changes its sign depending upon the oxide indicating that the major interaction is antiferromagnetic (AF) for both CNMO and CZMO and ferromagnetic (FM) in CZCO. The Weiss temperature, $\Theta$, of CNMO is about seven times as large as that of CZMO, revealing that the replacement of Ni
ions by the nonmagnetic Zn ions breaks the major exchange path efficiently. Second, the experimental effective magnetic moments, $\mu_{\text {eff }}^{\text {exp }}$, approximate to the expected values, $\mu_{\text {eff }}^{\text {cal }}$, which were calculated assuming $S=1,0,3 / 2$, and $1 / 2$ for $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$, and $\mathrm{Co}^{4+}$ in low spin state $\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{5}\right)$, respectively. Third, CNMO showed a broad peak around 100 K suggesting short-range ordering due to its 1 D AF nature. Below 50 K the magnetization increased but the increase slowed down around 20 K . The anomaly at 20 K corresponds to the long range ordering found in the ND measurement which will be described later. CZMO began to deviate


FIG. 3. Observed (dots), calculated (full line), and difference neutron diffraction profiles for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ at 300 K (a) and 10 K (b and c). Profile (c) is an enlargement of a certain low scattering-angle part of profile (b). Magnetic reflections are indicated by $M$, nuclear reflections by $N$.

TABLE 1
Crystal and Magnetic Data Determined by Neutron Diffraction at 300 and 10 K for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ and the Lattice Parameters for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathbf{Z n M n O}_{6}$ Determined by X-Ray Diffraction at 300 K

|  | (a) Lattice parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compounds |  | $a(\AA)$ | $c(\AA)$ | $R_{\mathrm{wp}}(\%)$ | $R_{\mathrm{p}}(\%)$ | $S(\%)$ | $R_{\mathrm{F}}(\%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiIrO}_{6}{ }^{a}$ | $(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.5806(1)$ | $11.1315(2)$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.5783(1)$ | $11.1321(2)$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ | $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.1250(4)$ | $10.5825(3)$ | 11.57 | 8.71 | 1.560 | 3.39 |
|  | $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.0958(2)$ | $10.5671(2)$ | 13.40 | 9.91 | 1.8 | 4.74 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ | $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.1494(5)$ | $10.6323(4)$ | 15.78 | 11.74 | 1.636 | 4.24 |
|  | $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.1282(3)$ | $10.6133(3)$ | 13.84 | 10.33 | 1.549 | 2.84 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ | $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.09(2)$ | $10.61(4)$ | - | - | - | - |

(b) Atomic Positions of Ca at $18 e(x, 0,1 / 4)$ and O at $36 f(x, y, z)$, Occupation Factors, $g$, and Isotropic Thermal Parameters, $U_{\text {iso }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$, for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} B \mathrm{MnO}_{6}(B=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn})$

|  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ | $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ |  | $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ | $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(x)$ | $0.362(2)$ | $0.3621(4)$ | $\mathrm{Ca}(x)$ | $0.363(3)$ | $0.363(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(x)$ | $0.180(2)$ | $0.1800(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(x)$ | $0.179(2)$ | $0.180(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(y)$ | $0.028(2)$ | $0.0273(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(y)$ | $0.025(2)$ | $0.025(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(z)$ | $0.108(1)$ | $0.1084(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(z)$ | $0.107(1)$ | $0.1075(6)$ |
| $g(\mathrm{Ni}, 6 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.00(5)$ | - | $g(\mathrm{Zn}, 6 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.04(9)$ | - |
| $g(\mathrm{Mn}, 6 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.00 | - | $g(\mathrm{Mn}, 6 \mathrm{a})$ | -0.04 | - |
| $g(\mathrm{Ni}, 6 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.00 | - | $g(\mathrm{Zn}, 6 \mathrm{~b})$ | -0.00 | - |
| $g(\mathrm{Mn}, 6 \mathrm{~b})$ | $1.00(4)$ | - | $g(\mathrm{Mn}, 6 \mathrm{~b})$ | $1.00(9)$ | - |
| $\mathrm{Ca}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $1.7(8)$ | $0.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{Ca}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $1.1(8)$ | $1.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $1.2(6)$ | $0.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $0.6(9)$ | $0.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $1.4(12)$ | $0.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $0.4(12)$ | $0.4(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $1.1(6)$ | $0.65(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $0.8(6)$ | $0.7(3)$ |

(c) Selected Interatomic Distances $(\AA)$ and Bond Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) at 300 K $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$
$\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$

| $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Mn}$ | $2.6456(1)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Mn}$ | $2.6581(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $2.14(1)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $2.16(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $1.91(1)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $1.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}(\times 3)$ | $81.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}(\times 3)$ | $81.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $87.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}(\times 6)$ | $88.2(6)$ |
| $(\times 6)$ | $92.3(6)$ |  | $(\times 6)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Ref. (6).
from Curie-Weiss behavior below 50 K and then exhibited a small anomaly around 20 K , which also corresponds to the long range ordering taking place at 25.5 K according to the ND measurement.

On the other hand, CZCO showed a FM nonlinearity in the field dependence of magnetization below 90 K (Fig. 4d), but hysteretic field dependence appeared only below 25 K as seen in Figs. 4b and 4d. There seem to be two possible interpretations, one assuming long range FM ordering at 90 K with a small coercivity and the other assuming the development of FM short range ordering at 90 K and sub-
sequent long range ordering setting in at 25 K . The field dependence of magnetization at 5 K (Fig. 4d) is not so simple, showing a change in slope around 30 kOe . There might be a field-induced change in the spin structure. Using the linear part above 70 kOe , a spontaneous moment of $0.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Co}$ was estimated. Detailed measurements on single crystals would be interesting.

Both CNMO and CZMO were found to be in a magnetically ordered state at 10 K from the appearance of magnetic Bragg peaks in the ND patterns shown in Figs. 2c and 3c. Those of CNMO shown in Fig. 2c are located near the (002), (102), and (112) positions but could better be indexed by assuming an incommensurate spiral magnetic structure with a single propagation vector $(0,0,2+\delta)$ with $\delta \sim 0.02$. The propagation vector of being close to (002) implied the essential equivalence of the ordered moments located at $(x$, $y, z)$ and ( $x, y, z+1 / 2$ ) to each other; that is, the Mn moments in a chain were nearly parallel to each other and so were the Ni moments. The absence of any $(h k 0 \pm \delta)$ reflections, on the other hand, indicated that the Ni and Mn moments were coupled almost antiparallel to each other, FullProf refinements based on this model using 65 independent magnetic reflections quickly converged to $R_{\mathrm{wp}}=$ $13.4 \%, R_{\mathrm{p}}=9.91 \%$. The final propagation vector and ordered moments we obtained were $\delta=0.0185(7)$, 1.4(2) $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Ni}$, and 2.2(2) $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Mn}$. The magnetic structure thus determined is illustrated in Fig. 5a. The ordered moments lie in the $a b$-plane. The in-plane spin direction of the same kind of ion, either Mn or Ni , changes by nearly $120^{\circ}$ from chain to chain. In further detail, the Mn moments at $(x, y, 0)$ and $(x, y$, $1 / 2$ ) within a chain make an angle of $3.3^{\circ}$ to each other, so do the Ni moments at $(x, y, 1 / 4)$ and $(x, y, 3 / 4)$, and the moments of the nearest-neighboring Mn and Ni ions are inclined by $181.67^{\circ}$ from each other. The incommensurateness must result from a competition of the major intrachain interaction with the minor but nonnegligible interchain interactions.

The magnetic Bragg peaks of CZMO could be indexed in space group $R \overline{3}$. The absence of $c$-glide reflection indicated that the two Mn ions located at $(0,0,0)$ and $(1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2)$ in the original rhombohedral unit cell were no longer equivalent to each other. Therefore, we started from a two-site collinear AF structure such as that shown in Fig. 5b. The refinement done using 29 independent magnetic reflections showed a good convergence to $R_{\mathrm{wp}}=13.84 \%$ and $R_{\mathrm{p}}=$ $10.33 \%$, where the ordered moments were assumed to lie in the $a b$-plane. A Mn moment of 2.43 (15) $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ was obtained. The spin direction in the $a b$-plane remained unknown. From the temperature dependence of certain magnetic reflections shown in Fig. 6 one can see that the 3D ordering sets in at 19 and 25.5 K for CNMO and CZMO, respectively.

Here we compare the magnetic dimensionality in the two Mn-containing oxides, CZMO and CNMO. In the chains in


FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of magnetization $(M)$ measured at $H=10 \mathrm{kOe}$ and its reciprocal ( $1 / M$, inset); (a) for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}(\boldsymbol{O}$, field cooled) and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}\left(\bigcirc\right.$, field cooled) and (b) for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}\left(\triangle\right.$, zero-field cooled, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$, field cooled). The dark arrow for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ in (a) indicates an anomaly due to short range ordering and the open arrows for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ indicate the temperatures of long range ordering determined by neutron diffraction (see Fig. 6). Shown in (c) and (d) are the $M-H$ curves measured at 5 K for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ (c) and at 5,70 , and 300 K for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ (d).

TABLE 2
Magnetic Parameters Evaluated from the Curie-Weiss Fitting of Susceptibility and the Ordered Magnetic Moments Determined by Neutron Diffraction

|  | (a) Suscept $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ | lity data $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C$ (emu. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 3.27(2) | 1.56(1) | 0.618(1) |
| $\Theta(\mathrm{K})$ | - 326(3) | - 46.5(2) | 26.2(2) |
| $10^{4} \chi_{0}\left(\mathrm{emu} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)^{a}$ | -3.33(2) | 1.42(1) | 2.80(1) |
| $\mu_{\text {eff }}^{\text {exp }}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | 5.11 | 3.57 | 2.22 |
| $\mu_{\text {eff }}^{\text {cal }}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | 4.58 | 3.87 | 1.73 |
| (b) Ordered magnetic moment at 10 K |  |  |  |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Mn}$ |  |  | 2.43 (15) |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Ni}$ |  |  | - |

${ }^{a}$ Temperature-independent term.

CNMO the neighboring Ni and Mn ions interact strongly with each other, while the replacement of Ni by Zn should replace the dominant interaction by the intrachain $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Mn}$ interaction over a distance of $5.3 \AA$ or the interchain $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Mn}$ interactions over a distance of $5.6 \AA$. Thus, there would be no doubt that magnetic one dimensionality is much more prominent in CNMO than in CZMO. There are certain evidences that support this argument. The susceptibility of CNMO shows a broad maximum around 100 K , far above $T_{\mathrm{N}}$, which is suggestive of AF ordering of a short
range nature due to low dimensionality. CZMO, on the other hand, simply follows the Curie-Weiss law down to 50 K . If we roughly estimate the dominant exchange interaction $J$ from the Weiss temperature using the simple molecular field equation of $\Theta=2 z J S(S+1) / 3 k$, where $z$ is the number of the exchange paths, we obtain $J=-39.8 \mathrm{~K}$ for CNMO with $z=2$ and $J=-2.3 \mathrm{~K}$ for CZMO with $z=8$ (two intrachain exchange paths plus six interchain paths). Then, a $T_{\mathrm{N}} / \Theta$ is only 0.04 for CNMO but as large as 0.5 for CZMO. Long range ordering must be suppressed in CNMO because of one dimensionality.

## CONCLUSION

We synthesized $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}, \quad \mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ which crystallize in the $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{CdCl}_{6}$ type structure. These oxides contain alternating chains made of $\mathrm{BO}_{6}$ ( $B=\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ) trigonal prisms and $B^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(B^{\prime}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}\right)$ octahedra. For the preparation of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ a high pressure technique was applied.
$\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ are antiferromagnets with a $T_{\mathrm{N}}$ of 19 and 25.5 K , respectively. The low $T_{\mathrm{N}}$ and other magnetic features of CNMO reflect the magnetic one dimensionality. The replacement of Ni by Zn made the system magnetically three dimensional. CZMO has a simple collinear antiferromagnetic structure with the ordered moment of $2.43(15) \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Mn}$ lying in the $a b$-plane. CNMO shows a spiral structure whose propagation vector is $(0,0$, $2.0185(7)$ ) with the ordered moments of $2.2(2) \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Mn}$ and


FIG. 5. Spiral magnetic structure for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ (a) and the collinear structure for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ (b). In both these structures the ordered moments lie within the $a b$-plane. The spin direction with respect to the $a$-axis for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ was not determined.


FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the peak intensity of a magnetic Bragg reflection: $(1,1,2+\delta)$ for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{NiMnO}_{6}$ (a) and $(1,0,1)$ for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnMnO}_{6}$ (b).
$1.4(2) \mu_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Ni}$, both lying in the $a b$-plane. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{ZnCoO}_{6}$ began to show FM behavior, though not yet known whether of short ranged nature or long ranged nature, below 90 K . An approximate spontaneous moment of $0.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} /$ Co was estimated at 5 K .
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